

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,493	08/25/2003	Joerg Mellmann	INTECH 3.0-088 03 P 50512	4246
48154 75	90 10/20/2005		EXAM	INER
SLATER & MATSIL LLP			DIMYAN, MAGID Y	
17950 PRESTON ROAD				
SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	75252		2825	

·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		<u> </u>	
`	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,493	MELLMANN, JOERG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Magid Y. Dimyan	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is FINAL .	5 <u>August 2003 and 11 March 2</u> his action is non-final.	<u>004</u> .	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowing the condition for all the conditions are conditionally all the conditions are conditio	vance except for formal matter	-	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/25/2003</u>. 	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date comal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is with regards to Application No. 10/648,493 filed 25 August 2003, and to the formal drawings filed 11 March 2004. Claims 1 – 21 are pending in this Application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S.
 Patent No. 6,574,784 B1 to Lippincott et al. (hereinafter, "Lippincott").
- 4. Referring to claims 1, 11 and 21, Lippincott discloses a method (claim 1), a computer program (claim 11) and an apparatus (claim 21) for correcting rule violations of a photomask using a digital representation of the photomask (see col. 1, lines 13 51) comprising: (a) identifying violating areas of the photomask that include areas violating a minimum width rule and areas violating a minimum space rule (see also col. 1, line 5 col. 2, line 3; col. 3, lines 5 43; Fig. 1); and (b) manipulating (i.e., biasing) each of the violating areas differently based on the placement of the violating area relative to a design shape of the layout pattern to be imaged using the photomask for

Art Unit: 2825

the purpose of eliminating the rule violations (see Figs. 4, 5, 10, 12, 13, 14; col. 4, lines 28 – 56, which show how areas can be biased differently, depending to space and width). Thus, Lippicott clearly cites all the claimed limitations.

- 5. Pursuant to claims 2 6 and 12 16, see (4) above as well as col. 6, lines 3 44; col. 7, lines 8 49; Figs. 16, 17, which teach all the claimed elements pertaining to the method and computer program for manipulating each of the violating areas differently (i.e., different biases) depending on whether these violations are for minimum widths, minimum spaces, and for areas lying inside or outside the design shape.
- 6. As per claims 7 and 17, see (4) and (5) above, as well as Figs. 14 and 15; col. 7, lines 8 49, which teach the limitations pertaining to enlarging, removing and filling an area which violates design rules, as claimed.
- 7. Regarding claims 8 and 18, see also col. 1, lines 32 52, which cite how OPC is performed on the photomask prior to identifying violating areas, as claimed.
- 8. As for claims 9 10 and 19 20, see (4) (6) above, as well as Figs. 12, 14, 15; col. 6, lines 20 64, which disclose the elements related to violating minimum space rules between areas, as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,493 Page 4

Art Unit: 2825

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pub. No. U.S. 2005/0022151 A1 to Rittman et al. discloses a photomask and IC manufactured by eliminating design rule violations during construction of a mask layout block, whereby a feature is defined in a mask pattern file generated by analyzing a selected position for a polygon during construction of a mask layout and determining if the selected position creates a design rule violation based on a design rule from a technology file.

U.S. Patent No. 6,816,997 B2 to Teh et al. teaches an improved method and system for performing a design rule check on proposed IC layout, and for creating customized design rule check command files, depending on the different regions having different kind of features (i.e., memory or logic).

U.S. Patent No. 6,523,162 B1 to Agrawal et al. cites a general purpose shape – based layout processing scheme for IC layout modification used in photolithography that also includes OPC.

Art Unit: 2825

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Magid Y. Dimyan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

myd 07 October 2005

A. M. Thompson (Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800)

Magid Y Dimyan

Examiner
Art Unit 2825